

# United States Patent and Trademark-Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/898,989	07/03/2001	John E. Mercer	DCI-15C2 7384			
21833 7	2590 01/30/2002					
BOULDER PATENT SERVICE INC 1021 GAPTER ROAD BOULDER, CO 803032924			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
			SINGH, SUNIL			
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3673			
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/898,989

Applicant(s)

Mercer

Examiner

Sunil Singh

Art Unit **3673** 



	•	•		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with	the corres	
A SH THE N - Exter af - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	For Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a considered timely. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory immunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply period for remaining the set of	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, cation.  s, a reply within the statuto period will apply and will ey statute, cause the applica	however, in the state of the st	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will  3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1) [	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) □		tion is non-final.		•
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matt	•	
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>39-96</u>		is/are	e pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 39-96			is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subjec	t to restric	ction and/or election requirement.
9) ☑ 10) ☑ 11) □	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on	is: a)□ :		b)□ disapproved.
13)□ a)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	ve been received. ve been received in Applocuments have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ne certified copies not r	plication N eceived in eceived.	lo this National Stage
		•		
16) 🗌 N	ent(s)  otice of References Cited (PTO-892)  otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)2	18) Interview Summary (PT 19) Notice of Informal Pate 20) Other:		··

Art Unit: 3673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The preliminary amendments filed 7/3/01 have been entered.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter claimed in claims 45, 59, 73, 87, 50-52, 64-66, 78-80 and 92-94 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

#### Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: at page 9 line 17, "locating signal 90" appears to be incorrect; page 12 line 19, "transceiver 152" appears to be

Application/Control Number: 09/898,989 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

incorrect; page 13 line 15, the status of the application serial number 08/643,209 needs to be updated. Appropriate correction is required.

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the word "disclosed" and "means" should be deleted from the abstract. Furthermore, the abstract does not discuss that an arrangement at the drill rig monitors at least one operational parameter and transmits the at least one parameter to a portable device, the abstract should describe this feature since the claims are directed to this particular feature. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3673

7. Claims 45, 59, 73 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 45, 59, 73, 87 call for the portable device to provide an audio indication in response to receipt of a warning; however, the specification is totally devoid of such a teaching;

therefore, one skilled in the art would not know how to make and/or use the invention as claimed;

Page 4

furthermore, no drawing shows this feature.

8. Claims 50-52, 64-66, 78-80, 92-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The subject matter called for in claims 50-52, 64-66, 78-80, 92-94 is not described in the specification therefore one skilled in the art would not know how to make and/or use the invention as claimed. Furthermore, no drawing substantiates the claimed subject matter in claims 50-52, 64-66, 78-80, 92-94.

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,989 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

10. Claims 39-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are **replete** with indefiniteness and antecedent basis errors that are too numerous to mention each one specifically. Applicant should carefully check all the claims to correct all indefiniteness and antecedent basis problems. Some examples are noted.

Claim 39 line 6, "the operational parameter" lacks clear antecedent basis; it appears that it should be --the at least one operational parameter--.

Claim 39 lines 6-7, "for use by the portable device" is confusing because it appears that the operator of the portable device is the one using the "operational data" not the portable device itself.

Claims 53, 67, 81, 95 and 96 are similarly rejected as is Claim 39 above.

Claim 49 line 2, "the status of said drilling mud" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim 63 line 2, "the status of said drilling mud" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim 68 line 2, "the drill rig" appears as if it should be --the detection arrangement--.

Claim 91 line 2, "the status of said drilling mud" lacks clear antecedent basis.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/898,989 Page 6

Art Unit: 3673

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. Claims 39-42, 53-56, 67-70, 81-84, 95 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuckes (US 5513710).

Kuckes discloses a drilling system comprising a detection arrangement at said drill rig (24) for monitoring an operational parameter, a portable device (28) configured for receiving data signal relating to the operational parameter; and a communication arrangement for transferring the data signal from the drill rig (24) to the portable device (28).

13. Claims 39-43, 48-49, 53-57, 62-63, 67-71, 76-77, 81-85, 90-91, 95 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patton (US 5439064).

Patton discloses a drilling system comprising a detection arrangement at said drill rig (20) for monitoring an operational parameter; a portable device ((12), this is construed as a movable trailer, wherein at a drilling site movable trailers are typically what is considered as the office) configured for receiving data signal relating to the operational parameter; and a communication arrangement for transferring the data signal from the drill rig (20,21) to the portable device (12).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 3673

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claims 43-49, 57-63, 71-77 and 85-91 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuckes '710.

Kuckes discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Kuckes is silent about the operational parameter being one of a push force, drilling mud status and wherein a warning is transmitted to the portable device when a minimum/maximum operational parameter is violated. It is well known in the art to transmit operational parameters such as (push force, drilling mud status) of a boring arrangement from a drill rig to an end user and to also transmit a warning to the end user when one of the operational parameter has violated a minimum/maximum value. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kuckes t to transmit operational parameters such as (push force, drilling mud status) of a boring arrangement from a drill rig to an end user and to also transmit a warning to the end user when one of the operational parameter has violated a minimum/maximum value as is well known in the art in order to prevent damaging the boring tool.

16. Claims 44-47, 58-61, 72-75 and 86-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patton.

Patton discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Patton is silent about a warning being transmitted to the portable device when a minimum/maximum operational parameter is violated. It is well known in the art to transmit a warning to the end user when one

Art Unit: 3673

of the operational parameter has violated a minimum/maximum value. It would have been

considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Patton to transmit a warning to the

Page 8

end user when one of the operational parameter has violated a minimum/maximum value as is well

known in the art in order to prevent damaging the boring tool.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

David Bagnell, can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

SS

55 16/02 DAVID BAGNELL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600